Revenue Secretary.—

Government have perused the resolution of the Conference. Government were unable to accept it.

Mr. Mallappa.—

With reference to answer (*b*) (i) was there a Brahmin Parupathegar before 1904 and are there any Non-Brahmin Parupathegars in Brahmin temples?

Revenue Secretary.—

That question requires notice.

Mr. Mallappa.—

Is it not possible to replace the Brahmin Parupathegar by a Saivite?

Revenue Secretary.—

The Parupathegar has been doing good work and has maintained the temple in a good condition. The income of the temple has also increased.

Mr. Mallappa.—

Are there any Saivite pujaris in Vaishnavite temples?

Revenue Secretary.—

This requires notice.

Mr. Mallappa.—

When the Bhaktas want him to be removed, cannot the Government remove him?

Dewan-President.—

We shall consider.

By Mr. Puttaveerabhadrappa (Maddagiri Taluk).—

Q—36. Will the Government be pleased to state if, as promised at the Representative Assembly (Dasara Session) of 1924 (Subject No. 21), restriction for removal of stones from Government quarries for *bona fide* house builders has been removed? If not yet removed, will the Government be pleased to state when orders will be passed in the matter?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—36. A copy of Government Order passed in the matter, of which the member was already informed, is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix IX.)

BY MR. B. CHANNABASAPPA (Tumkur Municipality).—

Q.—37. Will the Government be pleased to give information on the following:—

(*a*) The number of policies that were settled in the Mysore State Life Insurance Company, Public Branch, ever since its introduction in Mysore and the average duration of each settlement.

(*b*) Is it true that there are complaints that in the Mysore State Life Insurance Company, Public Branch, there is more delay in settlement of Policies than in other Life Insurance Companies?

(*c*) If true, what steps have the Government taken to remedy the defects?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—37. (*a*) 51 Policies up to end of March 1926; 15 days from date of establishment of claim.

(*b*) Government are not aware of any complaints.

(*c*) The question does not arise.

BY MESSRS. K. RAMAKRISHNA IYER, DODDARAME GOWDA (Kolar Taluk); M. CHANNABASAPPA, K. RAME GOWDA (Bowringpet Taluk); O. V. RAJAGOPAL, M. NARASINGA RAO (Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board Area); THATHI REDDI, CHIKKAREDDI (Chintamani Taluk); K. SHAMA RAO, OBAIYA (Mulbagal Taluk); LAKSHMAPPA, CHIKKAMUNIAPPA (Sidlaghatta Taluk); VENKATARAYAREDDI (Chickballapur Taluk); NARAYANAREDDI (Bagepalli Taluk); SENDI ANJANAPPA (Goribidnur Taluk); L. VEMA REDDI, VENKATASAMI GOWDA (Malur Taluk); G. RAMAPPA, MEDASANJIVAIYA (Srinivaspur Taluk); G. K. NARAYANA RAO (Gudibanda Sub-Taluk); CHIKKACHANNANANJAPPA SETTI (Kolar Municipality); RAJA HANUMAPPA CHETTY (Bowringpet Municipality); K. SURAIYA (Chintamani Municipality); H. KRISHNAMURTHIACHAR (Mulbagal Municipality); V. VENKATASAMI CHETTY (Chickballapur Munici-

pality); NANJAPPA (Special Interests, Bowringpet); S. HAMPIAH, A. VENKATARAMANA BOYEE (Special Interests, Sidlaghatta); and K. POOSAMY (Special Interests, Kolar Gold Fields).—

Q.—38. Will the Government be pleased to give information on the following:—

(*a*) The number of applications received in Maidan Taluks for the restoration of minor tanks from 1922 to 1926.

(*b*) In what taluks have the tanks been restored or repaired during the period and at what cost and how many tanks have been so repaired or restored?

(*c*) The number of tanks yet to be restored.

(*d*) What is the number of tanks for which estimates have been prepared and what is the estimated cost?

(*e*) What is the number of breached tanks in each taluk?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—38. (*a*) No separate register is maintained for such applications. It will take a long time to collect the information from the general registers.

(*b*) BANGALORE DISTRICT.—	Tanks	Rs.
Bangalore	45	20,725
Hoskote	36	42,104
Anekal	23	9,219
Devanhalli	17	3,521
Dodballapur	29	8,623
Nelamangala	27	7,517
Chennapatna (including Closepet) ..	50	24,582
Kankanhalli	10	2,911
Magadi	26	4,025
Total ..	263	1,23,227
KOLAR DISTRICT.—		
Kolar	5	18,164
Mulbagal	2	4,291
Bowringpet	5	17,387
Malur	1	909
Sidlaghatta	6	15,940
Chintamani	8	30,582
Srinivaspur	7	23,796
Chickballapur	3	9,982
Goribidnur	8	20,877
Bagepalli	5	7,760
Gudibanda	8	15,547
Total ..	58	1,65,235

		Tanks	Rs.
TUMKUR DISTRICT.—			
Tumkur		26	5,434
Maddagiri		15	1,781
Chicknaikanhalli		16	1,552
Sira		14	3,420
Gubbi		41	7,406
Tiptur		13	2,523
Pavagada		10	1,686
Kunigal		34	8,898
Koratagere		7	686
Turuvekere		9	2,310
	Total ..	185	35,696
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.—			
Chitaldrug		1	4,578
Hiriyur		1	912
Molakalmuru		..	..
Chellakere		..	..
Hosadurga		1	999
Holalkere		2	4,433
Davangere		1	3,348
Jagalur		1	3,490
Harihar		..	..
	Total ..	7	17,760
(*c*) Bangalore District		..	242
Kolar District		..	2,194
Tumkur District		..	569
Chitaldrug District		..	55
(*d*) Bangalore		19	60,990
Kolar		17	63,784
Tumkur (includes major tanks also)		36	1,09,530
Chitaldrug		..	..

(*e*) Information is called for and will be furnished on receipt.

BY MR. H. KRISHNAMURTHIACHAR (Mulbagal Municipality).—

Q.—39. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) In which of the taluks in Kolar District remission has been granted this year?

(*b*) What are the reasons for having omitted the Mulbagal, Srinivaspur and Kolar Taluks?

(*c*) Are Government aware of the condition of the raiyats under such tanks?